

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,338		12/05/2003	Jose Antonio Cubero Pitel	8132ES 1337		
23688	7590	01/04/2006		EXAMINER		
Bruce E. l	_			TRAN, I	TRAN, BINH X	
PO BOX 8 VANCOU		A 98687-2735		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,, a, e,				1765	·	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/707,338	CUBERO PITEL, JOSE ANTONIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MANUAL DATE FALS	Binh X. Tran	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	** '	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , ,	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	armior. Note the attacked Cinec	7.0.0.0.1.01.101.1.1.1.0.102.					
<u>-</u>	and and to consider OF LLOOP 0.0440(a)	(4) (6)					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-17 are not been further treated on the merits.

Note: Claims 4-5, 15-16 are a multiple dependent claim. However, multiple dependent claims 5 and 15-16 depend on multiple dependent claim 4. Claims 6-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they directly or indirectly depend on improper multiple dependent claim 5. Claim 17 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they directly or indirectly depend on improper multiple dependent claim 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1765

In claim 3 and claim 4, applicants disclose the step of depositing <u>additional</u> extruded sheets (<u>20b</u>, ...20n) on the first sheet (20a). However in line 11-12 of claim 4, applicants recites a contradict limitation "applying said second plate (30) on the <u>last sheet</u> (<u>20a</u>, 20b,...20n)" (emphasis added). Applicants clearly defines that the first sheet is labeled with reference number (20a) and the additional sheet (20b, ...20n) is deposited after the first sheet (20a). Therefore, it is impossible for the first sheet (20a) become the last sheet if additional sheets (20b, ...20n) are deposited over the first sheet (20a).

Claims 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because they directly or indirectly depend on claim 4.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 2 of claim 1, the phrase "of the type" is subjective and indefinite. It is unclearly from the claim what specific type that applicants wish to claim.

In line 17 of claim 1 the phrase "the material thereof" (in the phrase "so as to eliminate the material thereof") lacks antecedent basis. There are several different materials in the claim (electro-conductive material and dielectric substrate material).

Art Unit: 1765

Therefore, it is unclear what specific material that applicants wish to refer as "the material thereof".

In lines 19-20 of claim 1, the examiner does not understand the limitation "giving several finished tracks (13) isolated from each other as a result". It is unclear from the what specific result that applicants wish to claim

In line 19 of claim 4 the phrase "the material thereof" lacks antecedent basis for the same reason as discussed above.

In claims 1-4 the term "first sheet" lacks antecedent basis. The examiner suggests applicant replacing "first sheet" with --first heated sheet-- as defined in line 27 of claim 1.

Claims 2-17 are indefinite because they directly or indirectly depend on indefinite claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claim 2-3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Notice of References Cited (PTO 892 form).

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BinhTran

Binh X. Tran